

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,627	04/16/2002	Ah Hwee Tan	P21834	7768
7655 7590 04/04/2008 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			EXAMINER	
			COUGHLAN, PETER D	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2129	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/049,627	TAN ET AL.				
merview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PETER COUGHLAN	2129				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Mr. David Vincent.	(3)					
(2) Ms. Jayaprakash.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 21 March 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a href="Mr. Vincent spoke to Ms. Jayaprakash concerning application 10/049627">Mr. Vincent spoke to Ms. Jayaprakash concerning application 10/049627</a> . Mr Vincent suggested a direction to further define a method was needed in claim 1. Until then the Office needs to wait for further amendments.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/David Vincent/					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red				